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## Motivation

Equivalence between two programs is standardly interpreted as equality between their denotations: $v=w \Longrightarrow \llbracket v \rrbracket=\llbracket w \rrbracket$

Often one needs a more 'quantitative' notion of program equivalence and consequently of equality as well ...

- $v$ and $w$ are at most at distance $\epsilon$ from each other
- $v$ and $w$ are very similar


## An example - Wait calls

Take a language with a ground type $X$ and a signature $\Sigma$ of operations $\left\{\right.$ wait $\left._{\mathrm{n}}: X \rightarrow X \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ where $\ldots$
wait $\mathrm{t}_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})$ adds a latency of $n$ sec. to computation x .
The following metric equations then naturally arise

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\text { wait }_{0}(\mathrm{x})=0 \mathrm{x}} \quad \overline{\text { wait }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\text { wait }_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})\right)=0 \text { wait }_{\mathrm{n}+\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})} \\
\frac{\epsilon=|m-n|}{\text { wait }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})={ }_{\epsilon} \text { wait }_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})}
\end{gathered}
$$

## Context - Hybrid Systems



Computational devices that interact with their physical environment


## Contributions

We explore the idea of equivalence taking values in a quantale $\mathcal{V}$ which covers e.g. (in)equations, fuzzy (in)equations, and (ultra)metric equations

We introduce a $\mathcal{V}$-equational system for linear $\lambda$-calculus and show that it is sound and complete (in fact, an internal language) for a certain class of enriched autonomous categories
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## Quantales and the notion of a $\mathcal{V}$-equation

## Definition

A quantale is a complete lattice $\mathcal{V}$ equipped with an associative operation $\otimes: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ such that,

$$
x \otimes\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} y_{i}\right)=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(x \otimes y_{i}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad\left(\bigvee_{i \in I} y_{i}\right) \otimes x=\bigvee_{i \in I}\left(y_{i} \otimes x\right)
$$

## Definition

Take a quantale $\mathcal{V}$. A $\mathcal{V}$-equation $v={ }_{q} w$ is an equation between terms $v$ and $w$ labelled by an element $q \in \mathcal{V}$
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## Definition

Take a quantale $\mathcal{V}$. A $\mathcal{V}$-equation $v={ }_{q} w$ is an equation between terms $v$ and $w$ labelled by an element $q \in \mathcal{V}$

The quantale structure takes a key role in establishing a notion of $\mathcal{V}$-congruence and a corresponding completeness result ...

## Reflexivity, transitivity, symmetry ...

$$
\overline{v=\top v}(\text { refl }) \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad w={ }_{r} u}{v={ }_{q \otimes r} u} \text { (trans) } \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w}{w==_{q} v}(\text { sym })
$$

## Example

Boolean quantale $((\{0 \leq 1\}, \vee), \otimes:=\wedge)$ yields (in)equations,

$$
\frac{}{v=1_{1} v} \quad \frac{v==_{q} w \quad w=r_{r} u}{v={ }_{q \wedge r} u} \quad \frac{v==_{q} w}{w=q_{q} v}
$$

## Example

Metric quantale $(([0, \infty], \wedge), \otimes:=+)$ yields metric equations,

$$
\overline{v=0 v} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad w=r_{r} u}{v={ }_{q+r} u} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w}{w=q_{q} v}
$$

$$
\frac{\forall i \leq n . v=q_{i} w}{v={ }_{V q_{i}} w}(\text { join }) \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w r \leq q}{v=r w} \text { (weak) }
$$

## Example

For the Boolean quantale $((\{0 \leq 1\}, \vee), \otimes:=\wedge)$

$$
\frac{\forall i \leq n . v={ }_{q_{i}} w}{v={ }_{\max } q_{i} w} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w r \leq q}{v=r w}
$$

## Example

For the metric quantale $(([0, \infty], \wedge), \otimes:=+)$

$$
\frac{\forall i \leq n . v=q_{i} w}{v={ }_{\min q_{i}} w} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad r \geq q}{v=r w}
$$

## Our goal

- Integrate a $\mathcal{V}$-equational deductive system in linear $\lambda$-calculus
- show that it is sound and complete
- and establish an internal language theorem
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## Types and contexts in linear $\lambda$-calculus

$$
\mathbb{A}::=X \in G|\mathbb{I}| \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \multimap \mathbb{A}
$$

## Definition

A context $\Gamma$ is a non-repet. list of variables $x_{1}: \mathbb{A}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}: \mathbb{A}_{n}$

## Definition

A shuffle $E \in \operatorname{Sf}\left(\Gamma_{1} ; \ldots ; \Gamma_{n}\right)$ is a permutation of $\Gamma_{1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{n}$ such that $\forall i \leq n$ the relative order of the variables in $\Gamma_{i}$ is preserved

## Example

Take $\Gamma_{1}=x: \mathbb{A}, y: \mathbb{B}$ and $\Gamma_{2}=z: \mathbb{C}$. Then $z: \mathbb{C}, x: \mathbb{A}, y: \mathbb{B}$ is a shuffle but $y: \mathbb{B}, x: \mathbb{A}, z: \mathbb{C}$ is not

## Judgement derivation rules

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{\Gamma_{i} \triangleright v_{i}: \mathbb{A}_{i} f: \mathbb{A}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbb{A}_{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{A} \in \Sigma \quad E \in \operatorname{Sf}\left(\Gamma_{1} ; \ldots ; \Gamma_{n}\right)}{E \triangleright f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right): \mathbb{A}}(\operatorname{ax}) \quad \overline{x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright x: \mathbb{A}}(\mathrm{hyp}) \\
\frac{\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{I} \quad \Delta \triangleright w: \mathbb{A} \quad E \in \operatorname{Sf}(\Gamma ; \Delta)}{E \triangleright v \text { to } * \cdot w: \mathbb{A}}\left(\mathbb{I}_{\mathrm{e}}\right) \\
\frac{\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A} \quad \Delta \triangleright w: \mathbb{B} \quad E \in \operatorname{Sf}(\Gamma ; \Delta)}{E \triangleright v \otimes w: \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}}\left(\otimes_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \\
\frac{\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B} \quad \Delta, x: \mathbb{A}, y: \mathbb{B} \triangleright w: \mathbb{C} \quad E \in \operatorname{Sf}(\Gamma ; \Delta)}{E \triangleright \mathrm{pm} v \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot w: \mathbb{C}}\left(\otimes_{\mathrm{e}}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\frac{\Gamma, x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright v: \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \triangleright \lambda x: \mathbb{A} . v: \mathbb{A} \multimap \mathbb{B}}\left(\multimap_{\mathrm{i}}\right) \quad \frac{\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A} \multimap \mathbb{B} \quad \Delta \triangleright w: \mathbb{A} \quad E \in \operatorname{Sf}(\Gamma ; \Delta)}{E \triangleright v w: \mathbb{B}}\left(\multimap_{\mathrm{e}}\right)
$$

## Uniqueness of derivations, exchange, and substitution

## Theorem <br> If $\Gamma, x: \mathbb{A}, y: \mathbb{B}, \Delta \triangleright v: \mathbb{C}$ then $\Gamma, y: \mathbb{B}, x: \mathbb{A}, \Delta \triangleright v: \mathbb{C}$. <br> Moreover all judgements $\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A}$ have a unique derivation

## Proof.

Crucially relies on the notion of a shuffle

## Lemma

$$
\text { If } \Gamma, x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright v: \mathbb{B} \text { and } \Delta \triangleright w: \mathbb{A} \text { we can derive } \Gamma, \Delta \triangleright v[w / x]: \mathbb{B}
$$

## Proof.

Follows by structural induction on $\Gamma, x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright v: \mathbb{B}$

## A fragment of the equational system

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\mathrm{pm} v \otimes w \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot u & =u[v / x, w / y] & & \\
\text { pm } v \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot u[x \otimes y / z] & =u[v / z] & (\lambda x: \mathbb{A} \cdot v) w=v[w / x] \\
* \text { to } * \cdot v & =v & \lambda x: \mathbb{A} \cdot(v x)=v \\
v \text { to } * \cdot w[* / z] & =w[v / z] & & \text { (b) Higher-order structure }
\end{array}
$$

## Semantics of linear $\lambda$-calculus pt. I

Linear $\lambda$-calculus is interpreted on autonomous categories ...

- types $\mathbb{A}$ interpreted as objects $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \in C$
- contexts $x_{1}: \mathbb{A}_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}: \mathbb{A}_{n}$ interpreted as tensors

$$
\llbracket \mathbb{A}_{1} \rrbracket \otimes \cdots \otimes \llbracket \mathbb{A}_{n} \rrbracket \in \mathrm{C}
$$

- judgements $\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A}$ interpreted as $C$-morphisms

$$
\llbracket \Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A} \rrbracket: \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket
$$

## Semantics of linear $\lambda$-calculus pt. II

## Theorem (Soundness)

For any provable equation $\Gamma \triangleright v=w: \mathbb{A}$ we have $\llbracket v \rrbracket=\llbracket w \rrbracket \in$ $C(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket)$

## Proof.

Follows from the previous substitution lemma and the axiomatics of autonomous categories
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## Proof.

Follows from the previous substitution lemma and the axiomatics of autonomous categories

## Theorem (Completeness)

$$
\text { If } \llbracket v \rrbracket=\llbracket w \rrbracket \text { for every possible interpretation } \llbracket-\rrbracket \text { then } v=w
$$

## Proof.

Build a syntactic category whose objects are the available types and morphisms $\mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ are equivalence classes of judgements $x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright v: \mathbb{B}$ w.r.t. provable equality

## Internal language

From an autonomous category C we build a $\lambda$-theory Lang(C)

- the ground types are the objects of $C$
- operation symbols $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are the C-morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- we include as axioms 'all the equations in C'

Conversely we build $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(\mathrm{C}))$ the syntactic category of Lang(C), as described earlier

## Internal language

From an autonomous category C we build a $\lambda$-theory Lang(C)

- the ground types are the objects of C
- operation symbols $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are the C-morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- we include as axioms 'all the equations in C'

Conversely we build $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(\mathrm{C}))$ the syntactic category of Lang(C), as described earlier

## Theorem (Internal language)

There exists an equivalence of categories $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(C)) \simeq C$
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## Congruence in linear $\lambda$-calculus

$$
\frac{v=w}{v=v} \quad \frac{v=u}{v=u} \quad \frac{v=w}{w=v}
$$

$$
\frac{\forall i \leq n . v_{i}=w_{i}}{f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)}
$$

$$
\frac{v=w \quad v^{\prime}=w^{\prime}}{v \otimes v^{\prime}=w \otimes w^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\frac{v=w}{\mathrm{pm} v \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot v^{\prime}=\mathrm{pm} w \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot w^{\prime}} \quad \frac{v=w^{\prime}}{v v^{\prime}=w w^{\prime}}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{v=w}{v \text { to } * \cdot v^{\prime}=w \text { to } * \cdot w^{\prime}} & v=w \\
\frac{\Gamma \Delta v=w: \mathbb{A}}{\Delta x: \mathbb{A} \cdot v=\lambda x: \mathbb{A} \cdot w} \\
\hline \Delta \Delta v=w: \mathbb{A} & \frac{v \in \operatorname{perm}(\Gamma)}{\lambda x\left[v^{\prime} / x\right]=w\left[w^{\prime} / x\right]}
\end{array}
$$

$\mathcal{V}$-congruence in linear $\lambda$-calculus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{v=\top v} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad w={ }_{r} u}{v={ }_{q \otimes r} u} \quad \frac{v={ }_{q} w}{v={ }_{r} w} \quad \frac{\forall i \leq n . v=q_{i} w}{v=v_{i} w} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
\forall i \leq n . v_{i}=q_{i} w_{i} \\
f\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}\right)=\otimes q_{i} f\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)
\end{array} \\
& \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad v^{\prime}={ }_{r} w^{\prime}}{v \otimes v^{\prime}=q \otimes r w \otimes w^{\prime}} \\
& v={ }_{q} w \quad v^{\prime}=r_{r} w^{\prime} \\
& \overline{\mathrm{pm} v} \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot v^{\prime}=q \otimes r \mathrm{pm} w \text { to } x \otimes y \cdot w^{\prime} \\
& \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad v^{\prime}={ }_{r} w^{\prime}}{v v^{\prime}=q \otimes r w w^{\prime}} \\
& \frac{v={ }_{q} w \quad v^{\prime}=r w^{\prime}}{v \text { to } * \cdot v^{\prime}=q \otimes r w \text { to } * \cdot w^{\prime}} \\
& \frac{\Gamma \triangleright v={ }_{q} w: \mathbb{A} \quad \Delta \in \operatorname{perm}(\Gamma)}{\Delta \triangleright v={ }_{q} w: \mathbb{A}} \\
& \begin{array}{c}
v={ }_{q} w \quad v^{\prime}={ }_{r} w^{\prime} \\
v\left[v^{\prime} / x\right]=q \otimes r w\left[w^{\prime} / x\right]
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Semantics of $\mathcal{V}$-equations

An equation $v=w$ is interpreted as $\llbracket v \rrbracket=\llbracket w \rrbracket \in C(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket)$ which presupposes that $C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket)$ is a set

A $\mathcal{V}$-equation $v={ }_{q} w$ is interpreted as $a(\llbracket v \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket) \geq q \in \mathcal{V}$ with $a: C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket) \times C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ a function

## Semantics of $\mathcal{V}$-equations

An equation $v=w$ is interpreted as $\llbracket v \rrbracket=\llbracket w \rrbracket \in C(\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket)$ which presupposes that $C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket)$ is a set

A $\mathcal{V}$-equation $v={ }_{q} w$ is interpreted as $a(\llbracket v \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket) \geq q \in \mathcal{V}$ with $a: C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket) \times C(\llbracket\ulcorner\rrbracket, \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ a function

This suggests a certain enrichment on autonomous categories, which we detail next

## $\mathcal{V}$-categories pt. I

From now on assume that $\otimes: \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ has a unit $k$ which coincides with the top element $T \in \mathcal{V}$

## Definition

A (small) $\mathcal{V}$-category is a pair $(X, a)$ where $X$ is a class (set) and a : $X \times X \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$ is a function such that,

$$
k \leq a(x, x) \quad \text { and } \quad a(x, y) \otimes a(y, z) \leq a(x, z)
$$

## Definition

A $\mathcal{V}$-functor $f:(X, a) \rightarrow(Y, b)$ between $\mathcal{V}$-categories $(X, a)$ and $(Y, b)$ is a function $f: X \rightarrow Y$ such that $a(x, y) \leq b(f(x), f(y))$

## $\mathcal{V}$-categories pt. II

Small $\mathcal{V}$-categories and $\mathcal{V}$-functors form a category which we denote by $\mathcal{V}$-Cat

A $\mathcal{V}$-category is symmetric if $a(x, y)=a(y, x)$. We denote by $\mathcal{V}$-Cat sym the full subcategory of symmetric $\mathcal{V}$-categories

Every $\mathcal{V}$-category carries an order $x \leq y$ iff $k \leq a(x, y)$, and the former is separated if $\leq$ is anti-symmetric. We denote by $\mathcal{V}$-Cat sep the full subcategory of separated $\mathcal{V}$-categories

## A zoo of categories of $\mathcal{V}$-categories

- For $\mathcal{V}$ the Boolean quantale, $\mathcal{V}$-Catsep is the category Pos of partially ordered sets and monotone maps ...
- and $\mathcal{V}$-Cat $t_{\text {sym,sep }}$ is the category $\mathrm{Set}^{\text {of }}$ sets and functions
- For $\mathcal{V}$ the metric quantale, $\mathcal{V}$ - Cat $_{\text {sym,sep }}$ is the category Met of metric spaces and non-expansive maps
- For $\mathcal{V}$ the ultrametric quantale, $\mathcal{V}$-Cat ${ }_{\text {sym,sep }}$ is the category of ultrametric spaces and non-expansive maps


## A basis of enrichment

## Theorem

The category $\mathcal{V}$-Cat is autonomous and the full subcategories $\mathcal{V}$-Cat ${ }_{\text {sym }}, \mathcal{V}$-Cat ${ }_{\text {sep }}$, and $\mathcal{V}$-Cat sym,sep inherit the autonomous structure of $\mathcal{V}$-Cat

This allows us to consider the following notion of a category enriched over $\mathcal{V}$-categories

## Definition

A $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous category C is an autonomous $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-category C such that $\otimes: \mathrm{C} \times \mathrm{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{C}$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-functor and $(-\otimes X) \dashv(X \multimap-)$ is a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-adjunction

## Semantics of linear $\mathcal{V} \lambda$-calculus pt. I

Linear $\mathcal{V} \lambda$-calculus is interpreted on $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous categories, in the same way that linear $\lambda$-calculus is interpreted on autonomous categories

## Theorem (Soundness)

All $\mathcal{V}$-congruence rules previously listed are sound for
$\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous categories

## Proof.

Crucially relies on the $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched structure of C

## Semantics of linear $\mathcal{V} \lambda$-calculus pt. II

## Theorem (Completeness)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } a(\llbracket v \rrbracket, \llbracket w \rrbracket) \geq q \text { for every possible interpretation } \llbracket-\rrbracket \text { then } \\
& v=q w
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proof.

We build a syntactic category akin to before and make it enriched: for $\Gamma \triangleright v: \mathbb{A}$ and $\Gamma \triangleright w: \mathbb{A}$ we define $v \sim w$ iff $v=\top w$ and $w=\top v$ are provable equalities. Then take

$$
C(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}):=\{[v] \mid x: \mathbb{A} \triangleright v: \mathbb{B}\}
$$

and define $a([v],[w])=\bigvee\left\{q \mid v={ }_{q} w\right.$ is a provable equality $\}$
This yields a (separated) $\mathcal{V}$-category on $\mathrm{C}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$

## Internal language

From a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat sep-enriched autonomous category C we build a $\mathcal{V} \lambda$-theory Lang(C)

- the ground types are the objects of C
- operation symbols $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are the C-morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- we include as axioms 'all the $\mathcal{V}$-equations in $\mathrm{C}^{\prime}$

Conversely we build $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(C))$ the syntactic category of Lang(C), as described in the previous slide
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From a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat sep -enriched autonomous category C we build a $\mathcal{V} \lambda$-theory Lang (C)

- the ground types are the objects of C
- operation symbols $f: X \rightarrow Y$ are the C-morphisms $f: X \rightarrow Y$
- we include as axioms 'all the $\mathcal{V}$-equations in C '

Conversely we build $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(C))$ the syntactic category of Lang(C), as described in the previous slide

## Theorem (Internal language)

There is a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-equivalence of categories $\operatorname{Syn}(\operatorname{Lang}(C)) \simeq C$
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## Application 1. Wait calls and metric equations

Recall the language with a ground type $X$ a signature of operations $\left\{\right.$ wait $\left._{\mathrm{n}}: X \rightarrow X \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$ and the following metric equations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\text { wait }_{0}(\mathrm{x})=0 \mathrm{x} \quad \text { wait }_{\mathrm{n}}\left(\text { wait }_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})\right)=0 \text { wait }} \mathrm{n+m}(\mathrm{x}) \\
\frac{\epsilon=|m-n|}{\text { wait }_{\mathrm{n}}(\mathrm{x})={ }_{\epsilon} \text { wait }_{\mathrm{m}}(\mathrm{x})}
\end{gathered}
$$

We build a model of this theory on Met which is a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous category:
fix a metric space $A$, interpret the ground type $X$ as $\mathbb{N} \otimes A$ and the operation symbol wait ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ as the non-expansive map
$\llbracket w a i t_{n} \rrbracket: \mathbb{N} \otimes A \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \otimes A,(i, a) \mapsto(i+n, a)$

## Application 2. Wait calls and inequations

$$
\begin{gathered}
\overline{\text { wait }_{0}(x)=x \quad} \quad \begin{array}{c}
\text { wait }_{n}\left(\text { wait }_{m}(x)\right)=\text { wait }_{n+m}(x) \\
\frac{n \leq m}{\text { wait }_{n}(x) \leq \text { wait }_{m}(x)}
\end{array}, .
\end{gathered}
$$

We build a model of this theory on Pos which is a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous category:
fix a poset $A$, interpret the ground type $X$ as $\mathbb{N} \times A$ and the operation symbol wait ${ }_{\mathrm{n}}$ as the monotone map
$\llbracket w a i t_{\mathrm{n}} \rrbracket: \mathbb{N} \times A \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \times A,(i, a) \mapsto(i+n, a)$

## Application 3. Probabilistic programming

Consider a language with ground types real and unit, an operation bernoulli : real, real, unit $\rightarrow$ real and the axiom

$$
\frac{p, q \in[0,1] \cap \mathbb{Q}}{\text { bernoulli }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, p\right)={ }_{|p-q|} \text { bernoulli }\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, q\right)}
$$

We build a model over Banach spaces and linear contractions, which form a $\mathcal{V}$-Cat-enriched autonomous category:
real and unit are interpreted as the spaces $\mathcal{M} \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathcal{M}[0,1]$ of Borel measures equipped with the total variation norm. For finite spaces the latter is the taxicab norm $\|\mu\|=\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left|\mu\left(x_{i}\right)\right|$
$\llbracket$ bernoulli】 is the pushforward of the Markov kernel $\mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M} \mathbb{R}$, $(u, v, p) \mapsto p \delta_{u}+(1-p) \delta_{v}$
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## Summing up ...

Introduced the notion of a $\mathcal{V}$-equation which covers (in)equations and metric equations, among others

Introduced a sound and complete $\mathcal{V}$-equational system for linear $\lambda$-calculus

Illustrations with real-time and probabilistic programming
All details at: https://arxiv.org/pdf/2105.08473.pdf

## Current work

Application of this work to quantum and hybrid programming Development of a $\mathcal{V}$-equational system for linear $\lambda$-calculus extended with graded modalities

