

λ -Calculus and Algebraic Operations

Renato Neves



Universidade do Minho



Table of Contents

Recalling...

Integration of algebraic operations in λ -calculus

Semantics of λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

Capitalising on the Lessons Learned Thus Far

Recalling λ -Calculus

Types $\mathbb{A} \ni 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$

Programs built according to the rules

$$\frac{x : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbb{A}}$$

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash * : 1}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B}}$$

Γ a non-repetitive list of typed variables $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$

Sequential Composition

Consider the following “new” deductive rule

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \quad x : \mathbb{A} \vdash U : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow V ; U : \mathbb{B}}$$

It reads as “bind the computation V to x and then run U ”

Interpretation is defined as

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow V ; U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g \cdot f}$$

Table of Contents

Recalling. . .

Integration of algebraic operations in λ -calculus

Semantics of λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

Capitalising on the Lessons Learned Thus Far

Signatures

A **signature** $\Sigma = \{(\sigma_1, n_1), (\sigma_2, n_2), \dots\}$ is a set of operations σ_i paired with the **number** of inputs n_i they are supposed to receive

Signatures will later be integrated in λ -calculus

They constitute the aforementioned the **algebraic operations**

Examples

- Exceptions: $\Sigma = \{(e, 0)\}$
- Read a bit from the environment: $\Sigma = \{(\text{read}, 2)\}$
- Wait calls: $\Sigma = \{(\text{wait}_n, 1) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- Non-deterministic choice: $\Sigma = \{(+, 2)\}$

Simply-Typed λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

We choose a signature Σ of algebraic operations and introduce a new deductive rule

$$\frac{(\sigma, n) \in \Sigma \quad \forall i \leq n. \Gamma \vdash M_i : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \sigma(M_1, \dots, M_n) : \mathbb{A}}$$

Examples of Effective λ -Terms

- $x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \text{wait}_1(x) : \mathbb{A}$ – adds **delay** of one second to returning x
- $\Gamma \vdash e() : \mathbb{A}$ – raises an **exception** e
- $\Gamma \vdash \text{write}_v(M) : \mathbb{A}$ – writes v in **memory** and then runs M
- $x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \vdash \text{read}(\pi_1 x, \pi_2 x) : \mathbb{A}$ – **receives** a bit: if the bit is 0 it returns $\pi_1 x$ otherwise it returns $\pi_2 x$

Examples of Effective λ -Terms

- $x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \text{wait}_1(x) : \mathbb{A}$ – adds **delay** of one second to returning x
- $\Gamma \vdash e() : \mathbb{A}$ – raises an **exception** e
- $\Gamma \vdash \text{write}_v(M) : \mathbb{A}$ – writes v in **memory** and then runs M
- $x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \vdash \text{read}(\pi_1 x, \pi_2 x) : \mathbb{A}$ – **receives** a bit: if the bit is 0 it returns $\pi_1 x$ otherwise it returns $\pi_2 x$

Exercise

Define a λ -term $x : \mathbb{A} \vdash ? : \mathbb{A}$ that requests a bit from the user and depending on the value read it returns x with either one or two seconds of delay.

Table of Contents

Recalling. . .

Integration of algebraic operations in λ -calculus

Semantics of λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

Capitalising on the Lessons Learned Thus Far

Semantics of λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

How to provide a suitable semantics to this **family** of programming languages?

The short answer: via **monads**

The long answer: see the next slides . . .

The Core Idea

Recall that programs $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$ are interpreted as functions

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

Recall as well that there exists **only one** function of type

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket 1 \rrbracket$$

Problem: it is then necessarily the case that

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x : 1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{wait}_1(x) : 1 \rrbracket$$

despite these programs having different execution times

The Core Idea pt. II

Previously, we interpreted a program $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$ as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

which returns values in $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$. But now **values come with effects** ...

Instead of having $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$ as the set of outputs, we should have a **set of effects** $T\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$ over $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$ as outputs

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow T\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

T should thus be a **set-constructor**: *i.e.* given a set of outputs X it returns a set of effects TX over X

The Core Idea pt. III

For wait calls, the corresponding set-constructor T is defined as

$$X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$$

i.e. values in X paired with an **execution time**

For exceptions, the corresponding set-constructor T is defined as

$$X \mapsto X + \{e\}$$

i.e. values in X plus an element e **representing the exception**

Another Problem

This idea of a set-constructor T seems good, but it breaks sequential composition

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket & : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow T[\mathbb{A}] \\ \llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash N : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket & : \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow T[\mathbb{B}] \end{aligned}$$

We need a way to convert a function $h : X \rightarrow TY$ into a function of the type

$$h^* : TX \rightarrow TY$$

Another Problem pt. II

There are set-constructors T for which this is possible

In the case of **wait-calls**

$$\frac{f : X \rightarrow TY = \mathbb{N} \times Y}{f^*(n, x) = (n + m, y) \text{ where } f(x) = (m, y)}$$

In the case of **exceptions**

$$\frac{f : X \rightarrow TY = Y + \{e\}}{f^*(x) = f(y) \quad f^*(e) = e}$$

Testing the Idea with a Simple Example

$$\begin{aligned} & \llbracket x : 1 \vdash y \leftarrow \text{wait}_1(x); \text{wait}_2(y) : 1 \rrbracket \\ &= \llbracket y : 1 \vdash \text{wait}_2(y) : 1 \rrbracket^* \cdot \llbracket x : 1 \vdash \text{wait}_1(x) : 1 \rrbracket \\ &= (v \mapsto (2, v))^* \cdot (v \mapsto (1, v)) \\ &= v \mapsto (3, v) \end{aligned}$$

Yet Another problem

The idea of interpreting λ -terms $\Gamma \vdash M : \mathbb{A}$ as functions

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash M : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \rightarrow T[\mathbb{A}]$$

looks good but it presupposes that all terms invoke effects

There are terms that do not do this, e.g.

$$\llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

Solution

$T[\mathbb{A}]$ should also include values **free of effects**, specifically there should exist a function

$$\eta_{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket} : \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \rightarrow T[\mathbb{A}]$$

that maps a value to the corresponding effect-free representation in $T[\mathbb{A}]$

Yet Another problem pt. II

Again there are set-constructors T for which this is possible:

In the case of **wait-calls**

$$\frac{TX = \mathbb{N} \times X}{\eta_X(x) = (0, x)}$$

(i.e. no wait call was invoked)

In the case of **exceptions**

$$\frac{TX = X + \{e\}}{\eta_X(x) = x}$$

(i.e. the exception e was never raised)

Monads Unlocked

The analysis we did in the previous slides **naturally** leads to the notion of a **monad**

Definition

A monad $(T, \eta, (-)^*)$ is a triple such that T is a set-constructor, η is a function $\eta_X : X \rightarrow TX$ for each set X , and $(-)^*$ is an operation

$$\frac{f : X \rightarrow TY}{f^* : TX \rightarrow TY}$$

such that the following laws are respected: $\eta^* = \text{id}$, $f^* \cdot \eta = f$, $(f^* \cdot g)^* = f^* \cdot g^*$

The laws above are required to forbid “weird” computational behaviour

Exercise

Show that the set-constructor

$$X \mapsto \mathbb{N} \times X$$

can be equipped with a monadic structure

Show that the set-constructor

$$X \mapsto X + 1$$

can be equipped with a monadic structure

Table of Contents

Recalling. . .

Integration of algebraic operations in λ -calculus

Semantics of λ -Calculus with Algebraic Operations

Capitalising on the Lessons Learned Thus Far

To Keep In Mind

Let us use what we learned thus far to extend λ -calculus with algebraic operations and provide it with a proper semantics

To this effect, recall that,

- we fix a signature Σ of algebraic operations
- we have monads $(T, \eta, (-)^*)$ at our disposal
- Programs $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$ can be seen either as functions of type $[[\Gamma]] \rightarrow [[\mathbb{A}]]$ or of type $[[\Gamma]] \rightarrow T[[\mathbb{A}]]$

Semantics for Effectful Simply-Typed λ -Calculus

Types \mathbb{A} are interpreted as sets $\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{\star\} \quad \llbracket \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket \quad \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = (T\llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket)^{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket}$$

A typing context Γ is interpreted as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \times \cdots \times x_n : \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

For each operation $(\sigma, n) \in \Sigma$ and set X we postulate the existence of a map

$$\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_X : (TX)^n \longrightarrow TX$$

Semantics for effectful simply-typed λ -calculus II

$$\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i \rrbracket = \pi_i}$$

$$\frac{}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash * \rrbracket = !}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash_c M : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. M : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_1 \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c \text{return } V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \eta \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c M : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket x : \mathbb{A} \vdash_c N : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c x \leftarrow M ; N : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g^* \cdot f}$$

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c V U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \text{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$

$$\frac{(\sigma, n) \in \Sigma \quad \forall i \leq n. \llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c M_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f_i}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash_c \sigma(M_1, \dots, M_n) \rrbracket = \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket_{[\mathbb{A}]} \cdot \langle f_1, \dots, f_n \rangle}$$

Exercise

Use the interpretation rules to prove that the equations below hold

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow \text{return } * ; (\text{return } x) : 1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash \text{return } * : 1 \rrbracket$$

(hint: one of the monad laws)

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow \text{wait}_1(\text{return } *) ; (\text{return } x) : 1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow \text{return } * ; \text{wait}_1(\text{return } x) : 1 \rrbracket$$

(hint: two of the monad laws)

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow \text{wait}_1(\text{return } *) ; \text{wait}_1(\text{return } x) : 1 \rrbracket = \llbracket \Gamma \vdash x \leftarrow \text{wait}_2(\text{return } *) ; (\text{return } x) : 1 \rrbracket$$

Exercises

Build a λ -term that receives a value, waits one second, and returns the same value. Run this in Haskell using `DurationMonad.hs`. What is the value obtained when you feed this function with “Hi”? Justify.

Can you build a λ -term that receives a function $f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}$, receives a value $x : \mathbb{A}$, and applies f to x twice? In **classical** λ -calculus such would be defined as

$$\lambda f : \mathbb{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}. \lambda x : \mathbb{A}. f(f x)$$