# A brief overview of simply-typed $\lambda\text{-calculus}$

Renato Neves





Universidade do Minho

### Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

1. CCS and its semantics: focus on distributed systems comprised of processing units that communicate with each other

2. Adaption of previous notions to real-time systems: semantics via timed labelled transition systems

3. Going cyber-physical: simple imperative while-language and (as usual) program analysis via its semantics

4. Programming with algebraic effects: a uniform approach to the previous chapters

# Overview

Modern programming typically involves different effects

- memory cell manipulation
- communication
- exception raising operations
- probabilistic operations
- real-time behaviour
- cyber-physical behaviour

In the following lectures we will study the mathematical foundations of

### Programming with effects

in a uniform way

Roadmap

Stripping (higher-order) programming to the essentials

The process of reasoning via assumptions and logical rules to obtain new knowledge: for example ...

if every crow is black and x is a crow then x is black

Deductive reasoning has been studied in the last millenia, Aristotle being one of the fathers ...

long before the age of artificial computers

So what does it have to do with programming?

Let  $\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{C}...$  denote propositions (i.e. a property or a statement) and 1 denote a special proposition that always holds. Next, if  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$  are propositions then:



- $\mathbb{A}\times\mathbb{B}$  is a proposition it denotes the conjunction of  $\mathbb{A}$  and  $\mathbb{B}$
- $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$  is a proposition it says that  $\mathbb{A}$  implies  $\mathbb{B}$

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a list of propositions.  $\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}$  means "if the propositions in  $\Gamma$  hold then we deduce that  $\mathbb{A}$  also holds"

$$\frac{\mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash 1}{\Gamma \vdash 1} \text{ (trv)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}} \text{ (}\pi_1\text{)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (}\pi_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A} \vdash \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

### Exercise

Show that  $\mathbb{A}\times\mathbb{B}\vdash\mathbb{B}\times\mathbb{A}$ 

# Building New Rules from the Original Ones

The following rules are derivable from the previous system

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma, \mathbb{B} \vdash \mathbb{A}} \qquad \qquad \frac{\Gamma, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}}{\Gamma, \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A}, \Delta \vdash \mathbb{C}}$$

#### Exercise

Prove that  $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{C} \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}$  and also that  $\mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C} \vdash \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{C}$ . Are these deductions familiar?

Going back to programming ...

In order to study effectful programming, we should think of what are the basic features of (higher-order) programming ...

- variables
- function application
- function abstraction
- pairing . . .

and base our study on the simplest programming language containing these features ...

Simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus

It is the basis of Haskell, ML, Eff, F#, Agda, Elm and many other programming languages

Types  $\mathbb{A} \ni 1 \mid \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A} \mid \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{A}$ 

 $\Gamma$  is now a non-repetitive list of typed variables  $x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \dots x_n : \mathbb{A}_n$ Programs are built according to the previous deduction rules

$$\frac{x : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \mathbb{A}} \text{ (ass)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash x : 1} \text{ (triv)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \pi_1 V : \mathbb{A}} (\pi_1)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B}} \text{ (prd)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B}}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A} \cdot V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}} \text{ (cry)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \quad \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A}}{\Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B}} \text{ (app)}$$

### Examples of $\lambda$ -terms

 $x : \mathbb{A} \vdash x : \mathbb{A}$  (identity)

 $x : \mathbb{A} \vdash \langle x, x \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{A}$  (duplication)

 $x : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \vdash \langle \pi_2 \ x, \pi_1 \ x \rangle : \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{A} \text{ (swap)}$ 

 $f : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{B} \to \mathbb{C} \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A}, g(f x) : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C}$  (composition)

#### **Exercise**

Build a  $\lambda$ -term  $f : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}, g : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{C} \vdash ? : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{C}$  that pairs the outputs given by f and g

We wish to assign a mathematical meaning to  $\lambda$ -terms

 $\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda \text{-Terms} \longrightarrow \dots$ 

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

We wish to assign a mathematical meaning to  $\lambda$ -terms

 $\llbracket - \rrbracket : \lambda \text{-Terms} \longrightarrow \dots$ 

so that we can reason about them in a rigorous way, and take advantage of known mathematical theories

This is the goal of the next slides: we will study how to interpret  $\lambda$ -terms as functions. But first ...

For every set X, there is a 'trivial' function

$$!: X \longrightarrow \{\star\} = 1, \qquad !(x) = \star$$

We can always pair two functions  $f: X \rightarrow A$ ,  $g: X \rightarrow B$  into

$$\langle f,g\rangle:X\to A\times B,\qquad \langle f,g\rangle(x)=(f\,x,g\,x)$$

Consider two sets X, Y. There exist 'projection' functions

$$\pi_1: X \times Y \to X, \qquad \pi_1(x, y) = x$$
  
 $\pi_2: X \times Y \to Y, \qquad \pi_2(x, y) = y$ 

We can always 'curry' a function  $f: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  into

$$\lambda f: X \to Z^Y, \qquad \lambda f(x) = (y \mapsto f(x, y))$$

Consider sets X, Y, Z. There exists an 'application' function

$$\operatorname{app}: Z^Y \times Y \to Z, \qquad \operatorname{app}(f, y) = f y$$

# Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

Types  $\mathbbm{A}$  are interpreted as sets  $[\![\mathbbm{A}]\!]$ 

$$\llbracket 1 \rrbracket = \{\star\}$$
$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket \times \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket$$
$$\llbracket \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{B} \rrbracket^{\llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket}$$

A typing context  $\Gamma$  is interpreted as

$$\llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket = \llbracket x_1 : \mathbb{A}_1 \times \cdots \times x_n : \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket = \llbracket \mathbb{A}_1 \rrbracket \times \cdots \times \llbracket \mathbb{A}_n \rrbracket$$

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

$$\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$$

### Functional Semantics for the Simply-Typed $\lambda$ -Calculus

A  $\lambda$ -term  $\Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A}$  is interpreted as a function

 $\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket : \llbracket \Gamma \rrbracket \longrightarrow \llbracket \mathbb{A} \rrbracket$ 

in the following way

 $\frac{x_i : \mathbb{A} \in \Gamma}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i} \qquad \qquad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash x_i : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = \pi_i \cdot f}$ 

 $\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \langle V, U \rangle : \mathbb{A} \times \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \langle f, g \rangle} \quad \frac{\llbracket \Gamma, x : \mathbb{A} \vdash V : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash \lambda x : \mathbb{A} \cdot V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \lambda f}$ 

$$\frac{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = f \quad \llbracket \Gamma \vdash U : \mathbb{A} \rrbracket = g}{\llbracket \Gamma \vdash V U : \mathbb{B} \rrbracket = \operatorname{app} \cdot \langle f, g \rangle}$$

Show that the following equations hold.

$$\begin{bmatrix} x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash \pi_1 \langle x, y \rangle : \mathbb{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} x : \mathbb{A}, y : \mathbb{B} \vdash x : \mathbb{A} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \vdash V : \mathbb{A} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \Gamma \vdash \langle \pi_1 V, \pi_2 V \rangle : \mathbb{A} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} - \vdash (\lambda x \cdot x + 1) \ 2 : \mathbb{N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} - \vdash 3 : \mathbb{N} \end{bmatrix}$$