

Cyber-Physical Programming

TPC-2

Renato Neves and José Proença

nevrenato@di.uminho.pt

It is often necessary to incorporate *message logs* in whatever programming language we are working with. For example, we might wish to register the speed of a car periodically. So let us consider the following simple, imperative programming language:

$$\text{Prog}(X) \ni x := t \mid \text{write}_m(p) \mid p ; q \mid \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q \mid \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}$$

Note that t is a linear term (defined in previous lectures) and m in the construct write_m is a list of messages. The program $\text{write}_m(p)$ reads as “write messages m and then run program p ”. For such a language we take a semantics $\langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'$ which informs not only of the output of p (i.e. σ') but also presents a list of messages (i.e. m). Specifically, we adopt the following semantic rules:

$$\frac{\langle t, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow r}{\langle x := t, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow [], \sigma[r/x]} \text{ (asg)} \qquad \frac{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow n, \sigma'}{\langle \text{write}_m(p), \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m ++ n, \sigma'} \text{ (write)}$$

$$\frac{\langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma' \quad \langle q, \sigma' \rangle \Downarrow n, \sigma''}{\langle p ; q, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m ++ n, \sigma''} \text{ (seq)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \text{tt} \quad \langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'} \text{ (if}_1\text{)} \qquad \frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \text{ff} \quad \langle q, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'}{\langle \text{if } b \text{ then } p \text{ else } q, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'} \text{ (if}_2\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \text{tt} \quad \langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma' \quad \langle \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma' \rangle \Downarrow n, \sigma''}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m ++ n, \sigma''} \text{ (wh}_1\text{)}$$

$$\frac{\langle b, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow \text{ff}}{\langle \text{while } b \text{ do } \{ p \}, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow [], \sigma} \text{ (wh}_2\text{)}$$

We can then define a natural notion of *equivalence* for our programs: we say that two programs p and q are equivalent (in symbols, $p \sim q$) if for all environments σ we have

$$\langle p, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma' \text{ iff } \langle q, \sigma \rangle \Downarrow m, \sigma'$$

Exercise 1. Prove that $\text{write}_m(\text{write}_n(p)) \sim \text{write}_{m++n}(p)$. Can you think of (and prove) other interesting equivalences? Note that the more equivalences a compiler knows the more ways it has to do program optimisations.

Exercise 2. Implement in Haskell the while-language described above and its semantics. Suggestion: use the code developed in previous lectures.

What to submit: A `.pdf` file containing the solution to the first exercise and a also `.hs` file containing the code that you developed (properly commented!) for the second exercise. Please send a corresponding `.zip` archive by email (nevrenato@di.uminho.pt) with the name “`cpp2223-N.zip`”, where “`N`” is your student number. The subject of the email should be “`cpp2223 N TPC-2`”.