

# Quantum Computation

(Lecture 10)

Luís Soares Barbosa



Universidade do Minho



UNITED NATIONS  
UNIVERSITY

**UNU-EGOV**

## Quantum Computing Course Unit

Universidade do Minho, 2021

# The problem

## Finding the period of a function

Let  $f$  be a **periodic** function with period  $0 < r < 2^n$ :

$$f(x+r) = f(x) \quad \text{with } x, r \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$$

Given a circuit for an operator  $U|x\rangle|y\rangle = |x\rangle|y \oplus f(x)\rangle$ , obtain  $r$  (with a single query to oracle  $U$ ).

## The algorithm follows the usual pattern

- Start with  $|0\rangle|0\rangle$  creates a uniform superposition with  $t = \mathcal{O}(n + \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$  qubits;
- apply the oracle;
- estimate the relevant value with  $QFT^{-1}$  and measure the first register;
- (classical) post-processing to retrieve the period.

# The algorithm

1.  $|0\rangle|0\rangle$
2. Uniform superposition:  $\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^t-1} |x\rangle|0\rangle$
3. Oracle:  $\longrightarrow$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^t}} \sum_{x=0}^{2^t-1} |x\rangle|f(x)\rangle \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{r2^t}} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} \sum_{x=0}^{2^t-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i l x}{r}} |x\rangle|\bar{f}(l)\rangle$$

4.  $QFT^{-1}$ :  $\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} |\tilde{l}\rangle|\bar{f}(l)\rangle$
5. Measure first register:  $\longrightarrow \tilde{l}$
6. Post-processing: continued fractions:  $\longrightarrow r$

## Details: Step 3

Step 3 is based on the equality

$$|f(x)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{l=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ilx}{r}} |\bar{f}(l)\rangle$$

where state  $|\bar{f}(l)\rangle$  is defined as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{x=0}^{r-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi ilx}{r}} |f(x)\rangle$$

The equality holds because  $\sum_{l=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{2\pi ilx}{r}} = r$  whenever  $x$  is a multiple of  $r$ , i.e.  $x = mr$ , for  $m$  integer, reducing

$$e^{\frac{2\pi ilmr}{r}} = e^{2\pi ilm} = 1$$

Otherwise it sums 0 as parcels alternate with positive/negative non integer multiples of  $2\pi$

## Details: Steps 3 and 6

### Step 3

The equality in Step 3 is only an **approximation** because, in the general case,  $2^t$  may not be an integer multiple of  $r$ .

### Step 6

The value approximated by  $\frac{\tilde{t}}{r}$  is a **rational** number, the ratio of two bounded integers. The **continued fractions** method computes the **nearest** fraction  $\frac{l'}{r'}$  to  $\frac{\tilde{t}}{r}$  making highly probable that  $r'$  is indeed  $r$ .

# Analysis

To justify why the algorithm works, note that the definition of  $\{\bar{f}(l)\}$  is almost the Fourier transform over  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2^n - 1\}$ .

In general, for  $0 \leq x \leq N$  and  $N$  an integer multiple of  $r$ , e.g.  $N = mr$ , the Fourier transform of  $f$  is

$$\bar{f}(l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i l x}{N}} f(x)$$

Function  $f$  being **cyclic** and  $N = mr$  entails

$$\bar{f}(l) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \sum_{x=0}^{r-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i l (kr+x)}{mr}} f(x)$$

# Analysis

Note that the term

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i l k r}{m r}} = m \delta_{l, mp} \text{ for } p \in \mathbb{Z}$$

i.e. it returns  $m$  if  $l$  is a multiple of  $m$  (i.e. of  $\frac{N}{r}$ ), and  $0$  otherwise. Actually, if  $l = mp$ , for an integer  $p$ , then

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi i m p k r}{m r}} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} e^{-2\pi i p k} = \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} 1 = m$$

Otherwise the parcels in the sum will take the form

$$e^{\frac{0}{m}}, e^{\frac{-2l\pi i}{m}}, e^{\frac{-4l\pi i}{m}} \dots, e^{\frac{-2(m-1)l\pi i}{m}}$$

corresponding to angles regularly spanning the whole circle which cancel two by two.

# Analysis

This entails

$$\bar{f}(l) = \begin{cases} \frac{\sqrt{N}}{r} \sum_{x=0}^{r-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi ilx}{N}} f(x) & \Leftarrow l \text{ is a multiple of } m \\ 0 & \Leftarrow \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Making  $N = r$  we retrieve, for  $l \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots, r-1\}$   
the integer multiples of 1 ...,

$$\bar{f}(l) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{x=0}^{r-1} e^{-\frac{2\pi ilx}{r}} f(x)$$



# Shift invariance

The crucial argument is that the Fourier transform verifies a **shift invariance** property, which, in a broader sense, is stated as follows:

## Shift invariance

Given a group  $G$  and a subgroup  $S$  of  $G$ , if a function  $f$  defined in  $G$  is constant on the cosets of  $S$ , then its Fourier transform is invariant over cosets of  $S$ .

## Recall: coset

The coset of a subgroup  $S$  of a group  $(G, \cdot)$  wrt  $g \in G$  is

$$gS = \{g \cdot s \mid s \in S\}$$

## Shift invariance

### Proof

Let  $S \subseteq G$ , the latter indexing the states in a orthonormal basis, and consider the general expression of the *QFT*

$$\sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s |s\rangle \mapsto \sum_{g \in G} \beta_g |g\rangle$$

where

$$\beta_g = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s e^{\frac{2\pi i g s}{|G|}}$$

Applying operator  $U_k |x\rangle = |x+r\rangle$  yields

$$U_k \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s |s\rangle = \sum_{s \in S} \alpha_s |s+r\rangle$$

whose Fourier transform is

$$\sum_{g \in G} \sum_{s \in S} e^{\frac{2\pi i g (s+r)}{|G|}} |g\rangle = \sum_{g \in G} e^{\frac{2\pi i g r}{|G|}} \sum_{s \in S} e^{\frac{2\pi i g s}{|G|}} |g\rangle = \sum_{g \in G} e^{\frac{2\pi i g r}{|G|}} \beta_g |g\rangle$$

# Shift invariance

## Proof

Clearly, if we are representing the Fourier transform of a function  $f$  is constant in each coset, i.e.

$$f(s + r) = f(r) \text{ for all } s \in \{s' + r \mid s \in S\}$$

the (absolute values) of amplitudes

$$e^{\frac{2\pi igr}{|G|}} \beta_g \text{ and } \beta_g$$

coincide.

Thus, the Fourier transform of  $f$  is **invariant** in cosets

## Example: Order-finding

### Order-finding as period estimation

The kernel of the algorithm for order-finding can be seen is an instance of period estimation for function

$$f_a(k) = a^k \pmod n$$

as the period is exactly the order:

$$a^{k+r} \pmod n = a^k a^r \pmod n = a^k \pmod n$$

(cf, the original approach in Shor's algorithm)

# Example: Discrete logarithm

## The discrete logarithm problem

Determine  $t$ , given  $a$  and  $b = a^t$ .

This problem can be solved as an instance of period estimation for a much more complex function:

$$f_a(x, y) = a^{tx+y} \pmod{n}$$

through the observation that  $f$  is periodic

$$f(x + k, y - kt) = f(x, y)$$

with period  $(k, -kt)$ , for each integer  $k$ .

# Afterthoughts

Both the **period estimation** and **discrete logarithm** problems, and many others indeed, are **instances** of more general one:

the **hidden subgroup** problem

# The discrete logarithm problem

## The problem

Determine  $t$ , given  $a$  and  $b = a^t$ .

This problem can be solved as an instance of period estimation for function:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = a^{sx_1 + x_2} \pmod n$$

through the observation that  $f$  is periodic:

$$f(x_1 + k, x_2 - ks) = a^{s(x_1+k) + x_2 - ks} \pmod n = a^{sx_1 + x_2} \pmod n = f(x_1, x_2)$$

with period  $(k, -ks)$ , for each integer  $k$ .

# The ingredients

Although the expression for the period is less common, the algorithm follows step-by-step the one for [period finding](#) discussed in the previous lecture.

From the outset, one assumes

- An [oracle](#)

$$U|x_1\rangle|x_2\rangle|y\rangle = y \otimes f(x_1, x_2)$$

- Knowledge of the [order](#) of  $a$ , i.e. the minimum  $r$  positive such that  $\text{rem}(a^r, n) = 1$ , computed by the [order finding algorithm](#).
- A state to store the function evaluation and two other registers with a suitable number of qubits ( $t = \mathcal{O}(\log r + \log \frac{1}{\epsilon})$ ), all of them prepared to hold 0.



# The algorithm

1.  $|0\rangle|0\rangle|0\rangle$
2. Uniform superposition:  $\longrightarrow \frac{1}{2^t} \sum_{x_1=0}^{2^t-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{2^t-1} |x_1\rangle|x_2\rangle|0\rangle$
3. Oracle:  $\longrightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{1}{2^t} \sum_{x_1=0}^{2^t-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{2^t-1} |x_1\rangle|x_2\rangle|f(x_1, x_2)\rangle \\
 & \approx \frac{1}{2^t \sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \sum_{x_1=0}^{2^t-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{2^t-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i (skx_1 + kx_2)}{r}} |x_1\rangle|x_2\rangle|\bar{f}(sk, k)\rangle \\
 & = \frac{1}{2^t \sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \left( \sum_{x_1=0}^{2^t-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i skx_1}{r}} |x_1\rangle \right) \left( \sum_{x_2=0}^{2^t-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i kx_2}{r}} |x_2\rangle \right) |\bar{f}(sk, k)\rangle
 \end{aligned}$$

## The algorithm

4.  $QFT^{-1}$ :  $\longrightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} |\frac{sk}{r}\rangle |\frac{k}{r}\rangle |\bar{f}(sk, k)\rangle$
5. Measure the first two registers:  $\longrightarrow \left(\frac{sk}{r}, \frac{k}{r}\right)$
6. Post-processing: continued fractions:  $\longrightarrow s$

Observing that  $r \approx 2^t$ , step 3 is the crucial step introducing state  $|\bar{f}(k_1, k_2)\rangle$  as the Fourier transform of  $|f(x_1, x_2)\rangle$  which can be written as

$$|\bar{f}(k_1, k_2)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi i k_2 j}{r}} |f(0, j)\rangle$$

whenever  $k_1 - k_2 s$  is an integer multiple of  $r$ .

# Proof

Making  $k = -x_1$  in  $f(x_1 + k, x_2 - sk)$ ,  $f(x_1, x_2) = f(0, x_1s + x_2)$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
 |\bar{f}(k_1, k_2)\rangle &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} \sum_{x_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{x_2=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi i(k_1x_1+k_2x_2)}{r}} |f(x_1, x_2)\rangle = \\
 &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} \sum_{x_1=0}^{r-1} \sum_{j=x_1s}^{x_1s+(r-1)} e^{\frac{-2\pi i(k_1x_1+k_2x_2-k_2x_1s)}{r}} |f(0, j)\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} \sum_{x_1=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi i(k_1-k_2s)x_1}{r}} \sum_{j=x_1s}^{x_1s+(r-1)} e^{\frac{-2\pi ik_2j}{r}} |f(0, j)\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{r\sqrt{r}} r \delta_{k_1-k_2s, r} \sum_{j=x_1s}^{x_1s+(r-1)} e^{\frac{-2\pi ik_2j}{r}} |f(0, j)\rangle \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{r}} \sum_{j=0}^{r-1} e^{\frac{-2\pi ik_2j}{r}} |f(0, j)\rangle \delta_{k_1-k_2s, r}
 \end{aligned}$$

## Going generic

### The problem

Given a group  $(G, +)$  and a function  $f : G \rightarrow S$  to a finite set  $S$ , such that there exists a nontrivial subgroup  $H \leq G$  for which  $f$  is

constant and distinct in each of its cosets,

determine  $H$ , i.e. the set of its generators.

Note that the condition of being **constant and distinct in each of its cosets** is equivalent to

$$f_H : G/H \rightarrow S \text{ is injective, and } \forall_{g \in G} \forall_{x, y \in g+H} \cdot f(x) = f(y) \quad (1)$$

## Recall

- Recall that a **coset** of  $H$  for an element  $g \in G$  is the set  $g + H = \{g + h \mid h \in H\}$ , intuitively a **translation** of  $H$  through  $g$ .
- The set of cosets of  $H$  forms a **partition** of  $G$  whose parts have identical cardinality (that of  $H$  itself).
- Give  $T \subset G$ ,  $\langle T \rangle$  is the subset of elements of  $G$  that can be formed from  $T$  by composition and inverses. Clearly,  $H = \langle T \rangle$  is a **subgroup** of  $G$  and  $T$  is called the set of **generators** of  $H$ .

# Instances

Several problems previously discussed are instances of [the hidden subgroup](#) problem.

## Period finding

Let  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $S$  any finite set,  $H = (r)$ , i.e. the set of all multiples of  $r$ :  $\{0, r, 2r, 3r, \dots\}$ , and  $f(x) = f(x + r)$ .

## Simon

Let  $G = (\{0, 1\}^*, \oplus)$ ,  $S$  any finite set,  $H = \{0, s\}$ , for  $s \in \{0, 1\}^*$ , and  $f(x) = f(x \oplus s)$ .

# Instances

## Order-finding

Let  $G = (\mathbb{Z}, +)$ ,  $S = \{a^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_r \text{ for } a^r = 1\}$ ,  $H = (r)$ , i.e. the set of all multiples of  $r$ :  $\{0, r, 2r, 3r, \dots\}$ , and  $f(x) = a^x$ , with  $f(x) = f(x + r)$ .

## Discrete logarithm

Let  $G = (\mathbb{Z}_r \times \mathbb{Z}_r, + \times +(\text{mod } r))$ ,  $S = \{a^i \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_r \text{ for } a^r = 1\}$ ,  $H = ((1, -s))$ , where  $s$  is the discrete logarithm, and  $f(x_1, x_2) = a^{sx_1 + x_2}$ , with  $f(x_1 + k, x_2 - ks) = f(x_1, x_2)$ .

## Deutsch

Let  $G = (\{0, 1\}, \oplus)$ ,  $S = \{0, 1\}$ ,  $H = \{0\}$  if  $f$  balanced, or  $\{0, 1\}$  if  $f$  constant.

# The algorithm

... is a generalization of ones given to the specific problems discussed.

The basic observation is to replace **group elements** by **matrices**, so that linear algebra can be used as a tool in group theory.

1. Create a uniform superposition over the elements of  $G$
2. Apply the oracle  $U|g\rangle|h\rangle = |g\rangle|h \odot f(g)\rangle$  for a suitable operation  $\odot$ :

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} |g\rangle |f(g)\rangle$$

3. Choose

$$e^{\frac{2\pi i k g}{|G|}}$$

as a **representation** of  $g \in G$



## The algorithm

- Express  $|f(g)\rangle$  as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{k=0}^{|G|-1} e^{\frac{2\pi i k g}{|G|}} |\bar{f}(k)\rangle$$

- Because  $f$  is **constant and distinct** on cosets of  $H$ , this expression can be re-written st

$$|\bar{f}(k)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|G|}} \sum_{g \in G} e^{\frac{-2\pi i k g}{|G|}} |f(g)\rangle$$

whose amplitude is very close to 0 but for the values of  $k$  st

$$\sum_{h \in H} e^{\frac{-2\pi i k h}{|G|}} = |H|$$

- Determine  $k$  and then the elements of  $H$  using the linear constraint above.

# The algorithm

In general, this last step this involves a decomposition of  $G$  into a product of cyclic groups  $\mathbb{Z}_{p_1} \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_2} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_{p_n}$ , for each  $p_i$  prime, in order to rewrite the phase

$$e^{\frac{2\pi i k g}{|G|}}$$

as

$$\prod_{i=1}^n e^{\frac{2\pi i k_i g_i}{p_i}}$$

for  $g_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p_i}$ . Then use the phase estimation algorithm to find each  $k_i$  and  $k$  from them.

# Quantum algorithms

Recall the overall idea:

engineering quantum effects as computational resources

## Classes of algorithms

- Algorithms with superpolynomial speed-up, typically based on the quantum Fourier transform, include Shor's algorithm for prime factorization. The level of resources (qubits) required is not yet currently available.
- Algorithms with quadratic speed-up, typically based on amplitude amplification, as in the variants of Grover's algorithm for unstructured search. Easier to implement in current NISQ technology, typical component of other algorithms.
- Quantum simulation

... and we are done!

## Where to look further

- Quantum computation is an extremely **young and challenging** area, looking for young people either with a **theoretical** or **experimental** profile.  
**Get in touch** if you are interested in pursuing studies/research in the area at UMinho, INESC TEC and INL.
- A follow-up course on **Quantum Logic** next year, covering **quantum programming languages, calculi and logics**.



Universidade do Minho



... and we are done!

## Where to look further

Two Research Groups at INL (dissertation themes coming next week!):

- **Quantum Software Engineering Group**: oriented towards the development of foundations and mathematical methods for Quantum Computer Science and Software Engineering and its application to strategic problem-areas.
- **Quantum and Linear-Optical Computation Group**: to explore the features of quantum theory that enable advantage in quantum information processing tasks, in particular those present in photonic implementations of quantum computers.



Universidade do Minho

