This exercise aims at improving your understanding of the quantum Fourier transform, a most relevant component in several quantum algorithms.

Recall the definition of QFT on K basis states:

$$\mathsf{QFT}_{\mathsf{K}}(|x\rangle) \; = \; \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathsf{K}}} \sum_{y=0}^{\mathsf{K}-1} e^{2\pi \mathfrak{i}(\frac{x}{\mathsf{K}})y} |y\rangle$$

- Compute $QFT_{K}(|00\cdots 0\rangle)$.
- The following equality

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{QFT}_{\mathsf{K}}(|\mathsf{x}_{1}\cdots\mathsf{x}_{n}\rangle) &= \\ & \left(\frac{|\mathsf{0}\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\mathsf{0}.\mathsf{x}_{n})}|\mathsf{1}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \otimes \left(\frac{|\mathsf{0}\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\mathsf{0}.\mathsf{x}_{n}\mathsf{x}_{n-1})}|\mathsf{1}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \cdots \otimes \cdots \left(\frac{|\mathsf{0}\rangle + e^{2\pi i(\mathsf{0}.\mathsf{x}_{1}\mathsf{x}_{2}\cdots\mathsf{x}_{n})}|\mathsf{1}\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \end{aligned}$$

was used in the lecture slides without proof. Verify it holds indeed.

- One can show, as we did in the lectures, that QFT is a unitary gate by building a unitary quantum circuit for its computation. Give an alternative, direct proof that the linear transformation defined above is unitary.
- \bullet Reproduce the circuit for QFT_4 and QFT_8, and compute the corresponding matrices. Give your calculation in detail.

Notes

Question 1

 $\mathrm{Fix}\ K=2^n,$

$$QFT_{K}(|00\cdots0\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i \left(\frac{0}{K}\right)y} |y\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{y_{1},y_{2}\cdots,y_{n}=0}^{1} |y_{1}y_{2}\cdots y_{n}\rangle$$

Clearly,

$$QFT_4(|00\rangle) = \frac{1}{2}(|00\rangle + |01\rangle + |10\rangle + |11\rangle)$$

 ${\rm and}\ QFT_2=H.$

Question 2

Let us first consider the case of QFT_4 applied to $|x\rangle = |x_1x_2\rangle.$

$$\begin{split} \mathsf{QFT}_4(|\mathbf{x}\rangle) \ &= \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y=0}^3 e^{2\pi i x y 2^{-2}} |\mathbf{y}\rangle \\ &= \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y_1,y_2=0}^1 e^{2\pi i x (y_1 2^{-1} + y_2 2^{-2})} |y_1 y_2\rangle \\ &= \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y_1,y_2=0}^1 (e^{2\pi i x y_1 2^{-1}} |y_1\rangle \otimes e^{2\pi i x y_2 2^{-2}} |y_2\rangle) \\ &= \ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{y_1=0}^1 (e^{2\pi i x y_1 2^{-1}} |y_1\rangle \otimes \sum_{y_2=0}^1 e^{2\pi i x y_2 2^{-2}} |y_2\rangle) \\ &= \ \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i x 2^{-1} |1\rangle})}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i x 2^{-2} |2\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \ \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (x_1, x_2) |1\rangle})}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_1 x_2) |2\rangle)}{\sqrt{2}} \\ &= \ \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_2) |1\rangle})}{\sqrt{2}} \otimes \frac{(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_1 x_2) |2\rangle)}}{\sqrt{2}} \end{split}$$

The first reduction resorts to the following fact for $|y\rangle = |y_1y_2\rangle$

$$\frac{y}{2^n} = \sum_{j=1}^n y_j 2^{-j}$$

The last one to

$$e^{2\pi i(a.b)} = e^{2\pi i a} e^{2\pi i(0.b)} = e^{2\pi i(0.b)}$$

The general case follows exactly the same argument.

$$\begin{split} QFT_{K}(|x\rangle) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i x y 2^{-n}} |y\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{y_{1}, \cdots, y_{n}=0}^{1} e^{2\pi i x (\sum_{p=1}^{n} y_{p} 2^{-p})} |y_{1} \cdots y_{n}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \sum_{y_{1}, y_{2}=0}^{1} \bigotimes_{p=1}^{n} e^{2\pi i x y_{p} 2^{-p}} |y_{p}\rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \bigotimes_{p=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{y_{p}=0}^{1} e^{2\pi i x y_{p} 2^{-p}} |y_{p}\rangle \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2^{n}}} \bigotimes_{p=1}^{n} \left(|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i x 2^{-p}} |1\rangle \right) \\ &= \left(\frac{|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_{n})} |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \otimes \left(\frac{|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_{n} x_{n-1})} |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \cdots \otimes \cdots \left(\frac{|0\rangle + e^{2\pi i (0.x_{1} x_{2} \cdots x_{n})} |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \right) \end{split}$$

Question 3

A somehow indirect, but easy way to show an operator is unitary, is to recall that unitarian operators preserve internal products:

$$(\mathbf{U}|\mathbf{v}\rangle,\mathbf{U}|\mathbf{u}\rangle) = \langle \mathbf{v}|\mathbf{U}^{\dagger}\mathbf{U}|\mathbf{u}\rangle = \langle \mathbf{v},\mathbf{u}\rangle \tag{1}$$

Let

$$U = QFT_{K}(|x\rangle) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{x}{K})y} |y\rangle$$

and compute

$$= \frac{\langle \nu | U^{\dagger} U | u \rangle}{\{\text{ definitions}\}}$$

$$= \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{\nu}{K})y} | y \rangle \right\}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{K}} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{u}{K})y} | y \rangle \right\}$$

$$= \left\{ (\alpha | x \rangle, \beta | y \rangle) = \overline{\alpha} \beta \langle x | y \rangle \right\}$$

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{(u-\nu)}{K})y}$$

<u>Case 1</u>: u = v. Then,

$$\frac{1}{K} \sum_{y=0}^{K-1} e^{2\pi i (\frac{(u-v)}{K})y} = \frac{K}{K} = 1$$

<u>Case 2</u>: $u \neq v$. Then,

$$\frac{1}{K}\sum_{y=0}^{K-1}e^{2\pi i(\frac{(u-\nu)}{K})y} = \frac{1}{K}\sum_{y=0}^{K-1}r^k \text{ where } r = e^{2\pi i(\frac{(u-\nu)}{K})y}|y\rangle$$

which boils down to^1

$$\frac{1}{K}\frac{1-r^n}{1-r} \ = \ \frac{1}{K}\frac{1-e^{2\pi i \left(u-\nu\right)}}{1-r} \ = \ 0 \ \text{ because } (u-\nu) \text{ is an integer}.$$

Thus, equality (1) holds, recalling the both $|u\rangle$ and $|v\rangle$ are vectors in an orthonormal basis.

Question 4

The circuits are direct instances of the general case for QKT_K discussed in the lectures. QKT_4 uses the rotation gate

$$\mathbf{R}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i 2^{-2}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & i \end{bmatrix}$$

and QKT_8 resorts both to R_2 and

$$\mathbf{R}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i 2^{-3}} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\pi 2^{-2}} \end{bmatrix}$$

The corresponding matrices are computed along the circuit. For exemple, for the second case, one obtains

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2^3}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \rho & \rho^2 & \rho^3 & \rho^4 & \rho^5 & \rho^6 & \rho^7 \\ 1 & \rho^2 & \rho^4 & \rho^6 & 1 & \rho^2 & \rho^4 & \rho^6 \\ 1 & \rho^3 & \rho^6 & \rho & \rho^4 & \rho^7 & \rho^2 & \rho^5 \\ 1 & \rho^4 & 1 & \rho^4 & 1 & \rho^4 & 1 & \rho^4 \\ 1 & \rho^5 & \rho^2 & \rho^7 & \rho^4 & \rho & \rho^6 & \rho^3 \\ 1 & \rho^6 & \rho^4 & \rho^2 & 1 & \rho^6 & \rho^4 & \rho^2 \\ 1 & \rho^7 & \rho^6 & \rho^5 & \rho^4 & \rho^3 & \rho^2 & \rho \end{bmatrix}$$

where $\rho = \sqrt{i} = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{8}}$.

¹cf the sum of the first n of a geometric progression: $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \alpha r^i = \alpha_0 + \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}.$