## **Problem Set - 2** 19 April 2021 - 3 May 2021

Please provide a complete, individual answer and quote suitably any reference used.

The modal connectives introduced in the lectures explore the structure of transitions in  $\mathbb{P}$ , i.e. binary relations  $\xrightarrow{x} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ , for  $x \in Act$ , relating the validity of the formulas to sets of states reached through certain transitions.

It is possible, however, to define other transition relations in  $\mathbb{P}$  that are computationally relevant. One of them is *observable transitions*  $\stackrel{\alpha}{\Longrightarrow} \subseteq \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P}$ , labelled from  $L = (Act - \{\tau\}) \cup \{\epsilon\}$ . Remember that a  $\stackrel{\epsilon}{\Longrightarrow}$ -transition corresponds to zero or more transitions through an unobservable action  $\tau$ .

Consider two new modal operators that express, respectively, the *possibility* and the *need* for a property to be valid after performing an arbitrary amount of unobservable behaviour.

E⊨《》Φ	iff	$\exists_{F\in\{E'\midE\overset{\mathfrak{e}}{\Longrightarrow}E'\}}.F\models\varphi$
Ε⊨[[]]φ	iff	$\forall_{F\in\{E^{\prime} E\stackrel{\varepsilon}{\Longrightarrow}E^{\prime}\}}$ . $F\models\varphi$

By abbreviation we can now define the "observable versions" of  $\langle K \rangle$  e [K], for  $K \subseteq L$ . Thus,

Another relevant operator is defined by

$$\mathsf{E} \models \llbracket \downarrow \rrbracket \varphi \quad \text{ iff } \quad \mathsf{E} \downarrow \land \forall_{\mathsf{F} \in \{\mathsf{E}^{\,\prime} \, | \, \mathsf{E} \Longrightarrow \mathsf{E}^{\,\prime}\}} \, . \, \mathsf{F} \models \varphi$$

where  $E \downarrow$  means that process E is *convergent*, i.e. it does not commit to an infinite loop of internal actions ( $\tau$ ).

1. Explain in your own words the meaning of the three new logical operators just introduced. For each of them specify a property resorting to it and describes in your own words its intended meaning.

- 2. Explain the meaning of formulas (abac) true and [-]false. Illustrate their use through the specification of four different, non-bisimilar processes such that (abac) true holds in two of them and [-]false in the other two.
- 3. In the logic you have studied in the lectures, formula

 $\langle - \rangle$ true  $\wedge [-a]$ false

expresses inevitability, i.e. the occurrence of action a is inevitable. Which of the formulas

- (a)  $\langle\!\!\langle \rangle\!\!\rangle$  true  $\wedge [\![-a]\!]$  false
- (b)  $[ ] \langle \rangle$  true  $\land [ -a ]$  false

if any, would express a similar property in the observational setting? Justify your answer. If none seems suitable, provide an alternative specification.

4. In the lectures, you have studied a close relationship between *bisimilarity* and *modal equivalence* for the logic then introduced. Discuss in some detail if and how a similar result holds relating *observational equivalence* and *modal equivalence* for the extended logic.